# TERM PAROLE CONSIDERATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY RELEASE BOARD In the Marter of the Life. Term Percie Consideration CDC Number B-38773 Hearing of: **TOGAN** 7 ORIGINAL 10 11 12 DEUEL VOCAMIONAL INSTITUTION Ħ TRACY, 14 15 16 17 18. 19 20 TUESDAY, AUGUST 21 22 11:00 a.m 24 25 Cathleen Mocuri C.S.R. Licenso No.

#### PETETS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

केन्द्राचन को संस्था कर्तीन क्यांना है। इसके एक जाहा असे हैं के किए के किए के किए के किए

TYON COLLEGE YOWN DRIVE, SHITE (2)3
SACRAMENTO, GALIFORNIA 93824
TELEPHONE (016) 363-3601

#### MEMBERS PROMISE

Hs. Ruth Lucian Presiding Manuar

Mr. Ralph Pizarro Committee Sanda.

Mr. Ted Rich, Mearing Hapresentative

#### MEMBERS ABSENT

MONE

11

12

li1

14

15

ŧE

17

14

19

20

21

23

### ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Stever Grogan Irmate

Mr. Kenneth Foley, Attorney for mate

Mr. Michael J. Moncagna. Deputy District Attorney, Los Angeles County

Lt. Cecil Chandlet, Correctional Lieutemant, DVI

PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

Proceedings Receas Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	<u>P</u> .
Proceedings Recess Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	<u>P</u> .
Proceedings Recess Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	<u>P</u>
Proceedings Receas Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	<u>P</u>
Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board  Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	
Receas  Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board  Adjournment  Certificate of Reporter	
Resumption of Proceedings for Findings of Board  Adjournment  Certificate of Reporter	
Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	
Adjournment Certificate of Reporter	
Certificate of Reporter	
000	
000	
000	
000	
000	_
00	
000	·. · .
000	
	•• •
	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	•
	:
	:
	•
	-
	·

# PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION THO COLLEGE TOWN DEIVE SUITE 213 BACKAMENTO, CACIFORNIA MAIN TEMEPHONE (918) 343-360[

## PROCEEDING

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: The case today is Mr. Steve Grogan. The number is B-38773. The date is August 22nd, 1978. The place is the Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy, California.

A panel of the Community Release Board has convened today, Mr. Grogan, to conduct a lifer hearing for you pursuant to Penal Code Sections 3041 and 3042 and the regulations of the Community Release Board governing parole consideration for life prisoners.

9

10

11

42

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The purpose of the hearing today is to consider your suitability for parole. It is necessary for us to conduct this hearing under the revised procedures enacted: by the Legislature effective July 1, 1977 which are applicable to all prisoners under life sentence. The tre 18 about 11:37.

We will be considering the number and nature of the crimes of which you are committed to State Prison, your criminal history or lack of it prior to your commitment. We will reach a decision this morning, or rather this afternoon, because we will be breaking for lunch, as to whether you are suitable for parole. If we find you suitable, we will give you the time of your confinement. We will also state for you and the record our reasons for

PETERS SHOR THAND REPORTING CORPORATION

SAUNAMENTO GALIFONNÍA 85826 ПЕСЕРНОМЕ (816) 363-3801

Finding you suitable. If we find you unsubable, we will give you the specific factors which went into so consideration.

ŧ

ţ٢

'

You have appeal rights if you are not satisficated with the findings today under Section 2050 of the CRB rules. As I said before, we will give you the verbal decision today. You will receive a written decision at a later date, but under no circumstances will this be final for 60 days. This is according to the law which gives the community time to react the our decision that we make today. So after the 61st day, the decision becomes final.

We are not utilizing any confidential information today. We have a list of the documents. Your counselor has the folder. We will be submitting this entire folder, with our findings.

I believe that takes care of all the housekeeping Can the panel members think of anything procedural that I have omitted?

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Nothing, Mrs. Sushen.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: There's a pained expression on counselor's face.

MR. FOLEY: You mentioned a break for lunch. I don't mean to indofvenience the Board. My experience in the past has been that these hearings have usually concluded at or near lunchtime. I have a preliminary

County, wherein I've called the court and informed than I may be a half an hour late. I was just on a phone. It at all position, in no way do I want to prejudice anyone by hurrying through this but if we could take this at othe straight hearing without a lunch break, I would certainly appreciate it because I know the judge, the magistrate who I am to appear in front of was very displeased because I was late the last time i appeared there from being here at these hearings.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Well, we'll do our best. It depends on how long this hearing is. If it looks as if it's going to go past 1:00 o'clock, I thetok it would be very unfair to your client --

MR. FOLEY: I agree.

7

10

12

13

14

15

ΙĻ

19

20

21

2;

23

24

25

the let

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. As I said, we have any confidential information. This folder will be

I will hamile the precompany ators and the offense part of the hearing. I will ask that the Attorney and we will identify ourselves in a few mun. The preconviction factors and the statement as to the direct successful the District Attorney that unless he has notified counsel.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION ...

7703 CCC.EGE TOWN DRIVE SUITE 213 SAGULMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95926 TELEPHONE (916) 983-3861

或作用的研究和问题。在1916年的特别的1919年中的特别的基础的基础的基础的基础的表现的。

prior to test stays, he will confine his remarks to what is -- not his remarks, but his factual remarks I should say to what is contained in the record. He certainly will have the right to represent his office in terms of his opinion and any statement that he wants to make regarding suitability or time. After that, the panel then will ask any questions that it wants to ask of the District Attorney and then counsel and Mr. Grogan will be permitted to make any statements, any corrections, any modifying statements that you want to make regarding the commitment offense.

. 7

10.

I would ask that in the quest for an orderly hearing, this is an administrative hearing and not an adversary hearing. That we do not interrupt each other. The District Attorney, I would also ask that you not address counsel. Make your remarks to the panel and let's give each one an opportunity to finish his statement so that we can do this in an orderly manner.

After we conclude that part of the hearing regarding the facts surrounding the circumstrates of the offense and the preconviction factors, I will ask Mr. Rich to handle the postconviction. At that time, Counselor, you and Mr. Grogan will be permitted to make any statements, file any documents which you wish to support the fact how Mr. Grogan has spent his time since the conviction. Again,

the panel will ask any questions and then we will move into the parole plan factor.

At the conclusion of that, the Deputy District Attorney will be permitted to make a summary statement. In that statement he may give his recommendations the suitability or unsuitability. The speaks to suitability, he will be permitted, if he wishes, to select and make a suggestion as to the amount of time. Subsequent to his statement, Counsel, you will do the concluding statements and you will be given resame privilege.

At this time we will identify ourselves for the record. My name is Ruth Rushen, R-u-s-h-e-n, Board Member presiding in this case. We will go to my right.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: My name is Ralph Pizarro, P-i-z-a-r-ro. I'm a member of the Community Release Board.

MR. CHANDLER: I'm Lieutenant Cecil Chandler, Correctional Mieutenant, DVI.

PRESIDING MAMBER RIGHTA And, Lieutenant, are you here at the request of Mr. Grogan?

MR. CHANDLER: Yes, ma'am.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Thank you.

INMATE GROGAN: Steven B. Grogan, B-38773.

NR. FOLEY: Kenneth Foley, F-o-I-e-y, attorney

for the inmata.

2

3

4

5

•

\_ .

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1#

19 20

21

22

25 24

25

MR. MONTAGNA: Michael J. Montagon-

M-o-n-t-a-g-n-a, District Attorney of Los Angeles Council

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: Ted Rich, R-i-c-h, Hearing Representative, Community Release Board.

for Mr. Grogan to discuss his situation personally?

MR. FOLEY: Yes, I do.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. Will you stand and you will be placed under oath.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Raise your right hand.
(Thereupon Inmate Steve Grogan was, by
Board Member Pizarro, sworn to tell the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
the truth.)

.INMATE GROGAN; I do.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Please be seated.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. We will begin now by asking the Deputy D.A. if he will state for the record the facts surrounding the commitment offense and them make any factual statements regarding your preconviction criminal history.

MR. MONTAGNA; Do you want us to stand?
PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Be seated.

MR. MDNTAGNA: The prisoner pere was convicted by

a just of murder in the first degree in 1970. The jury fixed the penalty at death. The court subsequently reduced it to life imprisonment.

The facts surrounding the crime reflect that this defendant in company with Charles Manson and several scher members of the so-called Manson Family killed one Donay!

Jerome Shea, S-h-e-a, also known as Shorty Shea. It algears from the records before the Board that the Manson Famil, took up residence at an abandoned movie ranch in the San Fernando Valley known as the Spahn, S-p-a-h-n, Ranch.

Mr. Spahn was the owner of the ranch. Shorty Shea was an employee, a ranchhand employed by Mr. Spahn. The record would indicate that Mr. Spahn wanted to have the Manson Family removed from the premises and for this purpose asked Mr. Shea to take care of that.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

72

Ħ

25

This would appear to be the motive behind the killing of Shorty Shea.

The record indicates that Mr. Shea was taken by Mr. Manson, Grogan and the other parties, that he was driven out. He was clubbed over the head with some sort of a blunt instrument. That he was thereafter stabbed repeatedly and killed. The property of Mr. Shea was taken by the members of the Manson Family.

The record would indicate that Mr. Grogen paraonally participated in the killing. That he was the one

who hit him on the head and also participated in stabbing the body. The record would indicate it was a brutal execution type killing, Shea begging for mercy.

M

ĭa

After the killing. Grogan and other members of the Manson Family bragged concerning the killing. They made claims of having decapitated the body, cutting the head off with the heat. There is indications that Mr. Grogan, evidence that he balked in regard to the killing about all of the red, warm with that was just as if the man would not die. He brage that the cutting the head off. He was asked whether or nearly pade him feel guilty. These are statements made to parties, not police, after the killing.

He stated, he was that make you feel, do you feel guilty? It was a thion asked. Grogan stated, "That's my deal. I've he learn to live with it. That's my changes which a set to go through. One baby in reality to kill another bath he eat its shit and not think anything of it."

before the killing of Shorty that mich took place in late August of 1969, that this defendant this prisoner, Mr. Grogan; proceeded to the how the La Bianca's with Charles Manson and other members at the Manson Family. That he was outside of the La Bianca, the when they first

went into that residence, going there for the purpose of killing the occupants.

MR. FOLEY: Excuse me. Where is that, if I may intempt, where is that in these materials?

MR. MONTAGMA: On; page 2 of the history of the

home, went inside where he tied up the victims.

Manson, thereafter returned to the car where he ordered three of the parties; Watson, Krenwinkel and WanHoffen to go inside and to do a better job than they had done the previous night. It appears that Mr. Gregan left the scene and did not participate in those actual killings.

There is evidence from the same document that thereafter Linda Kasabian and Mr. Grogan wend to a location for the purpose of killing somebody who had assisted Micalinabian. This was at the request of Charles Manson. The record would indicate that Miss Kasabian led him to a false address so that no harm could come to this person. There's indications that a person by the name of Retz was going to purchase the Spahn Ranch, a German Tooh. This would be around October, sometime after the killing of August of 1969. Mr. Grogan talked of securing explosives in order to blow up Mr. Retz.

During the course of the trial, Mr. Grogan denied

PETERS SHOUTHARD REPORTING CORPORATION

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

-18

ιģ

20

Ş١٠

22

23

24

his guilt. As I we indicated to the Board, he was found guilty and sentenced to the death penalty which was later reduced.

been recovered at the time of the crime, but subsequently in the early part of, I believe, it was of this year through the voluntary, as far as I know, cooperation of Mr. Grogan, he contacted both the police department, DA's office and offered to assist in locating the body. Aerial maps were brought up here and he attempted to pinpoint the location.

14-

They dug and they were not able to do so. It wasn't clear whether or not Mr. Grogan was coming up with a false story or attempting to have himself brought to Los Angeles in order to accomplish an escape. In any event, he was brought down to Los Angeles. 'He did in the company of representatives from the sheriff's office go out and after extensive digging they were able to recover the body of Mr. Shea. Mr. Shea was not decapitated. The body was intact. Which would indicate that some of the statements made after the killing by Mr. Grogan were not true. The body did have a crushed skull, however, which is consistent with him being hit in the back of the head as described by Mr. Grogan. It's my understanding there was also substantial evidence of multiple stab wounds having been inflicted.

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

anank you.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. Do you care to make any comments about his prior criminal history?

MR. MONTAGNA: His record indicates an extensive arrest record, both as a juvenile and as an adult, going back to the early part of 1967. He was arrested for possession of marijuana, counseled and released. He was arrested for shoplifting as a juvenile. Bail was forfeited. He was arrested for indecent exposure in Ventura County and placed on probation as a juvenile. He has two other juvenile arrests. One for grand theft auto which was a District Attorney's reject, and another one for grand theft money, prowling, for which he was released due to insufficient evidence.

As an adult, he was arrested in 1969 for auto theft. That was a rejection by the District Attorney's Office. In 1969, he had an arrest for contributing to the delinquency of a minor, receiving stolen property, violations of the deadly weapons and parole law, violation of Section 10851 of the Vehicle Code. All these charges apparently were dismissed in the interest of justice due to the fact that the then defendant, Mr. Grogan, had been arrested on a homicide charge.

In December of 1969, he was arrested for grand theft auto. He was convicted, I believe, by a plea of

the briches plans the state

jouriding, violation of Section 10851 of the Vehicle Code and was placed on probation.

He was subsequently also prosecuted for tampering with a witness, conspiracy to dissuade a witness from testifying. To which he entered a plea of nolo contendere. That offense was made a misdemeanor. The most recent charge that I've mentioned to the Board involved attempting to dissuade a witness in the Manson trial from offering testimony.

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

•16

17

12

19

20

Ζł

źŽ

23

24

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right, Counselor.

Manson Family or former members or people who said they had information concerning the offense.

More particularly, referring to page 2 of the Cumulative Case Summary, it shows that one of these sources of information concerning Mr. Grogan's statements made these references that the District Attorney has hit upon concerning how groovy it was no stab Shorty, et cetera,

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION
THE COLLEGE TODAY DAYS, SUITE 218
SACRAMENTS, SALIDONAL SHIPS

et carera and about the decapitation. My point is this: Hering the benefit of hindsight in this situation, seeing the fact that Mr. Grogan was convicted of decapitation murder, mutilation, decapitation-murder, and he was sentenced for that reason, and now seven years later they have discovered the body and the body was in fact attached as stated by the District Attorney and was not decapitated. I think that -- in no way are we saying that Mr. Grogan was not involved in the instant offense, but I think that really puts a lot of question on to the exact nature of the offense, as to how is as believed to have happened, and how it actually happed

11

ĸ

13

14

15

16

17

15

19

20

2)

22

23

24

25

My reading of theme documents in reference to the offense, even the statement by the Parole Board two years ago, so much emphasis was put on the mutilationdecapitation aspect of this case, that in light of the information that the body was not decapitated, I think putting great reliance on statements which may have been presented at the trial which were used to convict Mr. Grogan, have been proven to be unreliable. There was no decapitation.

Now, I wish to have Mr. Grogan go into his prior record. In lieu of the fact that the body has been discovered intact, obviously the commitment crime is not of the nature that it was originally believed to be. I think

ETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

what you have here is an, apparently, a stabbing death which is not incommon to people in this institution. I am saying is when you have people coming up in front of you requesting parole hearings on commitment offenses, I think Mr. Grogan has been separated from usual treatment because of the notoriety going with this case and because of the nature of the cause of death.

3

5

7

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I feel in lieu of the most recent findings that that type of treatment is not justified. If anything, Mr. Grogan's actions might indicate a different treatment may now be in order. But the one point again that I really want to emphatically express is that these reports about Mr. Grogan is reported to have said or done coming from other members of the Family, I think are inherently unreliable. This Board now has proof of that fact. would ask that possibly Mr. Grogan -- I know the Board is interested in this aspect -- could go through his prior record with the Board and discuss the situations that resulted in his conviction.

If the District Attorney dismisses a case or doesn't want to file a charge, I'm convinced that they must feel there wasn't evidence to show a violation of the law or else they would have prosecuted. So I'm going to address myself primarily to the convictions which Mr. Grogan has on his record. Possibly you could begin and

talk to the Board about your jugenile record and relate what that entails.

the prior arrest?

MR. FOLEY: Yes.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

IJ

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

INMATE GROGAN: I think it would be better -- I can't remember.

MR. FOLEY: We'll find a copy of your prior record. It is in the probation reports. All right. The first one, apparently you were counseled and released on the possession of marijuana charge. How much marijuana did you have at that time?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, it was, I didn't have any on my possession. It was found under a seat of the car I was riding. I was a passenger of a car. It was one joint. So they pulled us in and they called my father and counseled us.

MR. FOLEY: And in 1967 -- By the way, how old were you at that time?

'INMATE GROGAN: What's the date on that?

MR. FOLEY: June 5th of '67.

INMATE GROCAN: Seventeen. It seems like I was much younger than that.

MR. FOLEY: Possibly 15?

INMATE GROGAN: (Nodding head.)

MR FOLKY: How about the shoplifting charge in 1967 where you forfeited bail? Do you remember what that was that you took?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes. That was a pair of socks.

I don't recall forfeiting any bail.

MR. FOLEY: Well, apparently they treated it as an infraction where you posted a bail and never showed up and they just kept your bail money.

INMATE GROGAN: It was juvenile. They took me straight to diverse. Hall. Is that considered as an adult offense?

MR. FOLEY: 'No. It's a juvenile offense, but the notation says bail forfeited and that's what it usually means. The grand theft-money and prowling. Never mind, that was insufficient evidence, be a reject.

The incident in Ventura County concerning the indecent exposure, could you explain that to the Board, what that entailed?

INMATE GROGAN: I was coming back from the Spahn Ranch where I was working before and I was going to my parents house. This happened on the same block as my parents house. I came back and I wanted to get some money because I had a traffic ticket that I had to pay off. My brother was living there and he offered to pay the traffic ticket. So there was nobody home. As I was leaving

I was playing with them and the pants I was wearing had the crotch ripped out of them from riding on the horses and things at the ranch. So when I was playing with the kids on the lawn I guess one of the mothers had viewed it from one of the windows and had called the police thinking that I was exposing myself to their children. As a result of that, I was arrested.

٠,

MR. FOLEY: In fact, you were referred to a hospital for a period of time?

INMANE GROGAN: Yes. They referred it to, I think, it was Camarillo for 90-day observation.

MR. FOLEY: Also, one other thing crossed my mind. This ranch that you were living on, the Spahn Ranch, were you residing there prior to Charles Manson's arrival on the ranch?

INMATE GROGAN: I was there when I was about 15 years old and lived in the back. They had a back ranch-house that I lived in and I worked odd jobs, guiding tours for writers and cleaning up the ranch and stuff. They'd give me food and clothing. The rent was free. It wasn't really nothing to pay for rent. It was just an old shack. It had no electricity or hot water or anything. Just a place to rest.

MR. FOLEY: The next entry on your record is the

auto, theft; grand theft auto. Could you explain that? That's in December of 1969.

INMATE GROGAN: I recall being arrested for grand theft auto because I had rented a truck. It was a half-ton truck, I think. I took it to the desert and it not stuck in the desert. At that time I was arrested by Inyo. County. I had rented it for one day. So the company called up and thought I had stolen the truck. I went to court and I paid restitution for it, all the damages and the time overdue. I pleaded guilty, I think, to a breach of promise, a misdemeanor. Is that the same one?

MR. FOLEY: 1 think so. You were put on probation for three years

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22,

23

24

25

MR. FOLEY: And not the instant offense but the offense of trying to dissuade a witness, could you explain how your plea came about in that case?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, there was one of the defendants in the trial being held was proment, about wine months pregnant and we decided amongst the people with was charged with the crime that we made a deal with the District Attorney if they released the lady who was going to have a child on the streets, that we would plead noto contenders misdemeanor on trying to dissuade a witness. So that's how I plead. It was more or less agreed so that

she could get, have her baby on the streets rather than have it in the jail. To me at that time I didn't really care because I was being tried for murder. Noto contendere misdemeanor -- that was the way I was looking.

. 4

-4

7

- 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

47

18

18

20

21

22

· 23

24

25

MR. FOLEY: Concerning the instant offense, the commitment offense, the murder itself, would you have any objection relating to the Board what/happened?

INMATE GROGAN: I have one statement that I'd like to make off the record. It concerns my welfare in here and the facts surrounding the case if I could make that off the record.

MR. FOLEY: Could we go off for a second?

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Well, I'm not quite sure we would need to go off the record. Who would see the record?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, my concern is for the other defendants' attorneys who are active in their appeals would find out certain --

MR. FOLEY: Hold on one second, Mr. Grogan.

There's one incident documented that apparently two years ago or a year and a half ago you were assaulted and stabbed in the institution here?

INMATE GROGAN: (Nodding head.)
MR. FOLEY: And lf the Board is --

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Is this connected,

Counselor, with the find of thought you were in about the withess! Where is this question leading to now that he wants to go off the record?

MR. FOLEY: Well, it goes into the commitment offense. I think Mr. Grogan just wants to make a statement off the record apprising the Board of a situation he is in concerning details of the instant offense. If the Board doesn't want to go off the record, then my suggestion is make the statement as best you can concerning your involvement. If you feel that it might cause problems, we'll just pass over it,

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. It is five of twelve. Let's go off the record for a discussion among the panel and we'll clear the room.

(Thereupon the room was cleared and an off-the-record discussion was held.)

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: It is now 12:12. The panel has reconvened in the case of Mr. Grogan.

It is the decision of this panel that they will not go off the record, Counselor. So, Mr. Grogan can either make his statement. He can word it any way he wants to. We will make one concession that we will limit our questioning and let his statement ride in any way he wants to make.

MR. FOLEY: Do you think under those circumstances

THE PROPERTY IN THE SECOND

. you can make a statement?

INMATE GROGAN: I can say all that I participated in if that's acceptable to you.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Fine.

INMATE SROGAN: Want me to start from the beginning? I woke up on the morning -- I on't know the alieged date, whether it's correct or not. I have to get my head together. I woke up and I was on the boardwalk in front of the Spahn Ranch. There's a boardwalk that runs east and west. I came out there and I was told to get into the back of a car and at a certain time to try to knock out the driver, Shorty Shea. And the other person got in and we drove down the path about a quarter of a mile and pulled off to an embankment.

Thereupon another person got out of the car and supposedly I was supposed to hit him. I couldn't. I was scared to death myself. I kept trying to look for a car to come so I wouldn't have to hit the person. Then I. inally hit the guy on the back of the head. It didn't knock him out, but it surprised him. He jumped out of the right side of the car and the car was still in gear. I had to jump over the front seat to put it in gear to top it. It was soing to drive off an embankment. This is hard to tell. I went up there and stopped the car. I assumed he was already stabbed and I came over to where he was I was

.

.

7

9

,11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19,

26 21

22

23

24

25

that time he was unconscious. The that time he was unconscious. The that time he was unconscious. The that panels and severed it up. That night I came and buried it, that's baseaully it.

PREMIUM MEMBER TEN: You buried it?

IN GROGAN: Yeah.

PREDING MEMBER RUSHEN: You don't have to name anyone: Were you alone when you burled him?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

15

19

20

21

22

PARSIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: You busied him because someone told you to bury him or -- how did you happen to go back and bury him?

A shovel and went been to the site.

PRESIDING MEER RUSHEN: Was it your idea to bury him or were you collowing orders?

INMATE GROUND: Yeah, I guess you could say I was followers.

PRESIDING ER RUSHEN: I don't have any more questions.

BOARD MEMBER FIZARRO: What was the purpose of burying him?

INMATE GROGAN: I guess to hide the body from being discovered.

BOMES MERRER PIZARRO: Shat's all.

1

.2

.3

.7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESENTED MEMBER RUBBEN, I don't have any other questions. Mr. Rich.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: No. [ don't have any questions.

MR. FOLEY: There are a couple of points I'd like to make along these lines. You made reference to it. Mrs. Roshen, following orders. One thing that appears consistently throughout the sentending transcript in the case and also even the Appellate Opinion which refers to the trial in Mr. Grogan's case, and I don't know if you want me to refer to the exact spot, but it's very documented that Mr. Grogan was considered by the sentencing court to have been under the influence of Mr. Manson, also the opinion of the Appellate Court, that everything concerning Mr. Grogan and his involvement was almost that of being a follower at that time of Charles Manson or at least operating at his directions. I shink in that respect your point about him following orders is very well taken and is very much documented by the opinions of the court and also the Appellate Court.

I think possibly if I refer to the sentencing transcript, transcript -- this is page 14 of the sentencing transcript, the last paragraph, line 25. This is the court. He says, "But I can't overlook the fact here that Mr. Weidman makes

**6.com** A B

-21

of limited influence of Manson and the Prosecution has appelled itself of an evidentiary hook, namely, of establishing beyond any question that Charlie Manson was the leader of the band. Charlie Manson decided what everyone else did. Charlie Manson ultimately made the decision as to who lived and who died when they ran afoul to the plan. Mr. Grogan was too stupid and too hopped upon drugs to know what his role was other than to carry out his assignments."

Now, that was the sentencing court before the judge reduced the death penalty to life imprisonment. Also, if I can find the Appellate, where I have that in my notes here. Here we are. Page 70 of my notes which would be, well, it's actually page -- this is the Appellate Court. We know this isn't in fact the case. But on page 2 of the opinion, the court goes on in the second paragraph describing the killing which turns out now not to have been in fact the way it occurred.

Shea many times with a machete and because none of the previous wounds succeeded in killing him, Appellant, at Manson's direction, decapitated Shea. Shea's body or parts of it were never found. I think the Appellate Court at that time also understood from the trial transcript that

it was Mr. Barson who was in fact the leader and the boss in this situation.

Concorning the commitment offense, that's basical all I would have to add.

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: I have a question.

Mr. Grogan, what was the purpose for all these years of saying that you decapitated the body?

INMATE GROGAN: You mean the reason for the years that I didn't come forth and tell?

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Yes.

INMATE GROGAN: I was scared.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. What happened to make you not scared?

INMATE GROGAN: I don't know. Just over the years carrying this guilt, you know, it's just weighed on me so much. I just wanted to get it over with.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. I gather from what you're saying that you were told to say that you cut the guy's head off. Is that what you're saying?

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN. Okay. What was the purpose of that?

INMATE GROGAN: All I can put together is to make the crime more threatening and use the psychological tool of fear among the other people that were there at the time as far as I guesa control oper them,

have any comments or questions regarding the offense?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: I don't have any.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Could I ask you, what caused you; of recent past, to dome up and point out to these people where the body was where you had remained silent for so many years?

INMATE GROGAN: I wasn't willing to take the responsibility for doing it. I was trying to get out of it. Plus, like you're programmed not to tell the authorities or go to the authorities for anything. If got a wife and a kid that I've got to look out for. I've got to keep my emotions back. It's coming to me all of a sudden.

I just come to grips with myself to come clean with myself, clear my own conscience, and the record as far as concerning my involvement with these people and the effects that it would have on my future family and my wife. It's a decision that I came to within myself.

BOARD MEMBER PEZARRO: Thank you. You've answered my question.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. If there's nothing further then, Mr. Rich, will you take over at this time.

?

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2Ó

21

22

23

24

25

we'll let you do this as the precedure was before. There are three areas that we are mainly concerned with:

vocational -- well, four, really -- vocational, academic, psychological area, and the final one would be disciplinary, behavior. Okay, and if you want to report on those.

2

8

9

-10

11

\_T2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FOLEY: The first thing, continuing on with Mr. Pizarro's questions for this new area of since he's been confined, I'd like to point out that the psychological reports on Mr. Grogan dating back to Dr. Wittner's report in 1972 up to and including Dr. Mackenberg's: report of apparently December 5th, 1977, reflect a continuous change in Mr. Grogan. It's interesting to note that even while Dr Mackenberg says that he thought, see, could possibly still be damgerous on the outside, he noted that he's seeing a change in Mr. Grogan over a period of I think the change is documented because after apparently that consultation with Dr. Mackenberg, he did contact the authorities and I believe Mr. Montagna is aware' of the fact that he contacted the DA's office and made the arrangements to lead to the discovery of the victim's body. I think that reflects very favorably on Mr. Grogen. would also refer to the most recent psychological report dated August 1st of 1978 by Melvin Macomber, M-a-c-o-m-b-e-r He states in that report, you know, going into what has been

considered to be quite normal. That current psychological testions are nonconformist who is quite naive and unsophisticated. He is not criminally orientated. He has a strong passive and intellectual orientation towards life. He is in good touch with his feelings. He has a strong interest in the artistic and literacy. Grogan's defenses against future drug uses are quite good and it is not believed that he would revert to drug usage upon parole.

And he goes on to state in his concluding paragraph. "For the last several years he has been quite confused, guilt-ridden and fearful. He has shown considerable improvement at this time and there is no evidence of psychopathology which would indicate a potential for violence in the future. Grogan is not in need of psychotherapy. Violence potential appears to be below average. Prognosis for successful adjustment in the community appears to be very good."

And this is the most recent report on Mr. Grogan.

Like I say, I think his actions speak louder than words
and he's documented the change he's going through

Possibly, Mr. Grogan, you could address the Board as to your

vocational accomplishments since you've been here at the

institution.

GROGAN: Well see I enrolled in vocational airframe. I have 2300 hours in that. Completed different courses in welding and sheet metal work, drafting and woodworking riveting. What airframe is composed of is it encompasses all your different ty des. was you're doing is you're fixing airplanes under federal regulations. There's certain criteria that you have to be competent in in order to work on planes and stugg. Anyway, I've got 2300 hours in there where I learn a great deal about the trade itself. But I've never completed the course bacause I wanted to get out and w into hobby and sell some art work with my wife and child. All that remains for me to complete the trade is a few mage hours and brush up on my studies and I'll take the fedn al test. And I can get a license and be licensed by the Federal Aviation Commission to work on planes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

×19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bring some of your art work with with here today. I was wondering if the Board would care to take a look at Mr. Grogan's skills and what he's wine since he's been in the institution concerning his wir work. Why don't you pull that out now.

This is a portfolio of some of Mr. Grogan's art work. I would pass it around to you because I was quite impressed with it myself: I think he definitely has a

reference, of the female, that's Mr. Grogan's wife. Is the child your child?

ANNATE GROGAN: Yes. That's my son.

\$

6

7

9

10

11-

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

`24

25

MR. FULEY: And that is Mr. Grogan's natural son the is. I believe not, two years of age. Also, Mr. Grogan an accomplished musician. He has been performing, I have, since your incarceration with a group here in the incirution.

INMATE GROGAN: Various groups over the years.

Played for banquets and different meetings they have here,

for the inmate shows and recently I worked with Buddy

Habyer. He came down from Sacramento. He wanted the DVI

group, cross-section of the racial people to get a band.

Mayle quell the racial situation.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: I'm sorry, I missed that Say that again.

INMATE GROGAN: Buddy Harper came down from Sacramento out of Enomoto's office and his expressed purpose, was to open some doors for the music program here. We got rogether and we made a mixed band of blacks and Chican, and whites and we performed for the inmates and we played for the visitors and did different shows. They were visco-taped. The main thing for this was to show the inmates here that black, white, and Chicano could work

understanding amongst the general inmate population to stop all the racial tension that they've been having here lately.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN, How long did your bank .

INMATE GROGAN: Still.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Still in effect?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

I've got a picture of it here.

MR. FOLEY: While Mr. Grogan is getting that picture, I would like to very briefly comment on his disciplinary record which is almost nonexistent. I believe there are only three entries on his disciplinary record which covers a span of time. When were you first received by the Adult Authority, '712

INMATE GROGAN: December of 171.

MR. FOLEY: Since December of '71.

INMATE GROGAN: Here's a picture of the latest

group.

last?

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

MR. FOLEY: Do you remember what your 113's were for Mr. Grogan?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

MR. FOLEY: All right. Could you just explain briefly the circumstances, how it came about and what is

involved

20.

one? ROGAN: Well starting with the first

MR. FOLEY: Yes.

until they finish viewing the pictures.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right, Counsel.
HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: Go ahead.

INMATE GROGAN: My first 115 was coming to work lare. All that centers around was I misunderstood the officer's order. I was a quarter orderly and I thought he told me to come back at 1:30, but he told me to come back at 1:00 o'clook. For that I was wrote up for a 115.

, MR. FOLEY: The next one was in '75. It's for failing to turn over a cat?.

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah. My neighbor next door below me had a kitten that he had. I kept on begging him to let me see it. I wanted to see the animal and take him in my house and look at it. He expressed to me that be real careful not to let anybody see it. So I was coming up the stairs and the officer saw me have it. I had it in my jacket. And he asked me to give it to him. I told im I wouldn't do it. I took it to my house and put it in a pillow sack and sent it back down to the person who gave it to me. I was thinking more of the person because it was his

cat. He just got it. I didn't went to make him upset at me. So I told the officer, no, I wouldn't show it to him.

10:

'25

MR. FOLEY: And I guess the last 115 you received was in December concerning Mr. Alves here, the tustodian, where it is alleged that you referred to him in a derogatory manner. Could you just describe how that came about?

hemorrhage in my nose and I couldn't stop the bleeding.

I was bleeding out bloodclots of blood. I finally got to the hospital in the morning and it took them a half-hour to pack my nose. It was hard for them to stop the bleeding.

They told me to come back at noon and give me a pass if my nose started bleeding again which is did. I went back to the hospital and was bleed to the hospital and Alves was conding on the door and he had just let somebody out and somebody in. I showed him the pass and I said I had to see the doctor right now, and I just need to see a doctor. He said he couldn't let me in and I thought that he was just messing with me because I saw him letting people in and out.

So I asked him again. I asked him about three or four times and I said, "Okay, fuck-face, play your games" in that tone. Upon that, he let me in the hospital. I gained entry. He wrote me up for it. But I was in a state

I think I could have handled the situations a little better.

Because of that bleeding in my nose I was more or less in a state of disarray.

MR. FOLEY: Now, you've stopped going to school when you were in the tenth grade.

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

MR. FOLEY: And your registered or recorded grade point average is, what, 12.1?

INMATE GROGAN: I'm not sure.

MR. FOLEY: I think the Cumulative Case Summary documents Mr. Grogan's school grade point average as 12.1.

Mr. Chandle een asked to be here and I somewhat overlooked en e's familiar with Mr. Gregan's adjustment and behavior since he's been in the institution. I was wondering if we could at all possible have Mr. Chandler put under oath and ask him what he may have observed concerning Mr. Grogan.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Raise your right hand.

(Thereupon Mr. Cecil Chandler was, by
Board Member Pizarro, sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
but the truth.)

MR CHANDLER: I do .

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Please state your name.

25

10

ារ្យ

12

13

14

15

-16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CHANDLER: Lieutenant Cecil Chandler.

SGARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Thank you. Be seated.

in what capacity are you employed here at the institution?

MR. CHANDLER: I'm a Correctional Lieutenant currently assigned to the Nuestra Familia section of the institution as the Third Watch Commander.

5

É

,9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FOLEY: And how long have you been employed in this type of work?

MR. CHANDLER: Nineteen years in DVI.

MR. FOLEY: And during the course of your time here at DVI, have you come to know an inmate by the name of Steve Grogan?

MR. CHANDLER: Yes, I have.

MR. FOLEY: Could you describe in what way and for what period of time you were familiar with Mr. Grogan?

MR. CHANDLER: I was Third Watch Commander for ten years. During that period of time Grogan arrived at the institution. All new arrivals are given an interview upon arrival by the Watch Commander currently assigned. During that period of time they have to determine is he auitable for Mainline placement, should he be placed in K-wing pending classification, could he make it on the Mainline. That a now I come to be acquainted with Mr. Grogan at that period of time.

MR. FOLEY: And for approximately how long have you known Mr. Grogan?

MR: CHANDLER: Six, seven years. Certainly since he's been here.

MR. FOLEY: And during the course of your familiarity with Mr. Grogan, have you had an opportunity to observe his behavior within the institution?

, 22

MR. CHANDLER: Grogan arrived a very threatened individual. I didn't think he was what he pretended to be, but he was machoing it ap front at the time. But over the period of time I've seen a great change in him. I've related to him as he's made various requests for unlocked participation. The Watch Commander at the time had to approve all unlocks for night activities. I would have to question each one of them individually as to his intent and purpose. Why do you want to unlock? Oftentimes it was because of the Board. You people get a lot of credit for a lot of attendance at night activities. The validity of it I don't know.

I said that I would name. Now, if you fail to appear for a secutive sessions, Mr. Grogan, you will be sou will not, as long as I am the Watch Companies on any of my unlocks. He indicated he sincere, and as the record did prove, that he was. He did have some ability and capabilities which he exercised.

This relationship continued and this is how I came to notice him more and more. I've not as of yet read his central file. So I am not aware of exactly what he was here for, but I certainly heard the publicity as most watch Commanders do. So I don't know how the other inmates would adjust to him. I discussed that facet of the situation with him. I don't know if you're going to make it with the groups. He thought he could. His record proves that he did.

**ZŽ** 

25.

Then he wanted to go into the hobby craft which I also had at that time as a night operation. So I let him do that. He became gradually more mature in his actions, intent and purpose and I related to him on an ongoing basis. Within the past year or so, two or three years, he wanted to get married. I interceded on that behalf and attended his wedding. Set up various programs for him such as encouraging his hobby craft participation and his band. I started his band for them, got an A-Quarter and we set the facilities.

I guess, for them to have something to do other than just sit around out on the yard. Everything succeeded rather well.

Then he came to me one day and he said, "I've been thinking about my crime." And I said, "I don't know about your crime too much." He said, "I would like to talk

to you about it." Well, now, before you get involved with an inmate on a personal basis, there's some things you best consider. So I went to the Superintendent and I said, "I think Grogan has had a change of heart and he would like to talk. Now, am I clear to carry on a personal relationship with him other than on a staff development-staff basis?"

And he said, "Yes."

getting closer. If you know an inmate, he's laboring under two things. One is, he has a pre-set thought that he's not going to communicate with you too much and that they build into the system and they have to live with that.

There are several reasons for that. He feels threatened. He feels a personal threat. He's not going to communicate with authorities. So he finally made what we call a walk-around time. You can talk to an immate and he won't tell you the truth the first time out, but he'll circle it and he gets closer and closer. I thought about the fourth time

said, Yeah, he wanted to talk to me."

He told me the nature of the crime. I asked him at that point what he would like to do about it. He said he would like to accually, physically assist in the location. So I go back to the Superintendent and I get

clearance again. So then I got clearance to call his father and his wife and to talk to them on the conference line. This is the first time, according to his father, that he had ever admitted responsibility for the crime. So then we contacted the sheriff's office and LAPD and et cetera. They wanted to know if it was possible. So he spent all night drawing a map and I flew down and went to the sheriff's office, District Attorney. We took the map and we spent a hectic day out digging in the sand piles. We didn't find the body. They asked what would you do and I said I'd photograph the site.

'They really impressed me, the sheriff's office and the PD did. They called on a helicopter and it appeared almost like magic and they were photographing. We brought slides, took movies and brought them back. Showed them to Grogan out in the Superintendent's office in the company of the sheriff's rep. He thought it was there.

So I said, "I don't think you're going to find it based on what he's showing. It's a wide area and it's like the same of the s

Well, it comes to the fact there may be an escape attempt. You really don't know. The old man said, "Are you willing to take a chance?" I said, "I'll take a chance."

for Progan. They had a joke going if he escapes you'll pick cherries, et cetera, et cetera. I said, "That's all right. I know Grogan well enough that I'll go."

We did. We took him down and we went out to the ranch. We looked again and again. Grogan pinpointed the area that I'd say within 20 foot of the actual location. I was comfortable with him. They put him in jail and I stayed at the hotel and we brought him back. Subsequently, they did find the body.

It's my personal opinion that over the years he's exhibited a great change. He's matured. I think he did it, discovered the body for several reasons. One, he probably wanted to get it off his chest. He's gone to church to a great extent more than he has ever before. He's upgraded himself. He's no threat to the institution. He's never been a problem. In fact, personally I'd like to have the whole population like him behavior-wise. Crimewise, I don't know anything about that. I wouldn't get into that. But he's doing all right.

MR. FOLEY: So that in the course of your feel that you have seen Mr. Grogan go through a rather serious maturation?

MR. CHANDLER: Through all of his changes. I think he adopted me.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you. Can you think of anything

.

!! !2

else that hasn't been mentioned?

2

3.

7.

8

9

10

11

12

**4 13** 

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. CHANDLER: The other thing I'd like to tell you is that his reluctance to discuss the situation could stem from several factors. One, it may involve other people and the inmates live under a pretty heavy code that if they give up names, dates, times and places that involves somebody else. Eventually he's going to get out, even if he doesn't say anything at all. He may not get out except on a slab if he does say the wrong thing and it gets back to the right person.

I was a Watch Commander the time that the Nuestra stabled him and I brought him off the tier. Ha was on a gurney and he came by and he said, "Am I going to live?"

And I said, "The only reason you're going to live, Grogan, is I don't want to do the paper work. It's a lot less for a stable than it is for a victim. So I'm going to let you live."

He was considerably concerned at that point that he was really going to die. I felt if he had, "Do you have anything else you want to tell me in case you do, in case I made a mistake in your diagnosis?" And he said, "No."

MR. FOLEY: I have no other questions of Mr. Chandler. Do any of the Board Members have any questions?

BOARD MEMBER PLAARRO: Nothing.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: I don't have any.
HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: No questions.

MR. CHANDLER: I'm supposed to be feeding. Could

I be excused?

ŧø

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER FIZARRO: Thank you very much,

Mr. Chandler

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: Do you have any other points, Counselor?

MR. FOLEY: Another point I'd'like to make is when Mr. Grogan was admitted to this institution, although his head was in a different place at that time, he did perform well on the Adult Authority or on what they call the BE test. I think he scored at 45. Even though I know it's not inherently reliable, it indicates he's not likely to be a repeater if he were to be put on parole. While he's been in the institution, he's kept close contact with his family. If you've read through his past jacket, you've seen the numerous correspondence and letters he gets from his father, brother, wife, while he's incarcerated here. I don't think I can really say too much more about Mr. Grogan's institutional behavior than what Mr. Chandler said. He apparently made a pretty good show since he's been here.

I have nothing else in those four areas

there is a toolie of minor incidents that didn't result in write-ups. I guess it happened in '73. The result was a 128. Certain behavioral conduct-wise that's not reflected in the comments that took place here today. I guess one of them was March 2nd, 1973, in K-wing. I guess you were going out to court one day and you stopped to have a conversation and the officer asked you to go ahead. The other one was 8/16/73 when you showed some reluctance to help stack some chairs. But those are the two things that you didn't mention.

, 9

`10

Just one other comment. You know, Counselor, we've heard the conversations concerning possible motivation for the postconviction factor of verifying and telling where the body was. There is another side of the story if a skeptic would choose to look at it and might state that perhaps the motivating factor came from the clearly defining that the decapitation would result in stiffer penalties than what would happen if it was, if there is such a thing as a regular, or many murder. Would you care to comment on that possibility? It is certainly not an unreasonable assumption that Mr. Grogan may have been motivated by the fact that the decapitation is an aggravating factor. To clear that up would certainly be in his factor.

Mr. Grogan. I don't have that power of knowing what his true feelings were. But in any event, I would certainly think that Mr. Grogan would want to clear up that issue if it was being held against him. Anyone with common sense would want to show the 'st wasn't decapitation because, let's face it, that's been haunting him since he's been in here. The last Board referred to that fact, the mutilation murder.

In that respect I would certainly hope one of the reasons why he turned that information over was to disprove the decapitation. I would also like to believe, as I think Mr. Grogan has stated and I think Mr. Chandler somewhat corroborates it, that he came up with this also to try to possibly, if he ever can, get as much of this crime out of his life as possible and get it over with rather than continually having to live with it because there's no doubt that while he has been in the institution, he's married. He's got a wife. As we get into the next area, he has substantial plans for the outside and substantial abilities.

The only way he would ever be able to go out there and deal with it. I think, would be if he did clean the slate while he was in here. Hopefully, he's of bright, average intelligence and he's very, shell the day, philosophical at times. I think it would be a serious

21,

mistake if he were to try to go outside and make it with something still inside of him concerning the offense.

hearing representative RICH: And concerning just briefly the vocational aspects, I mean, the in-prison behavior, as the record would indicate, it has gotten progressively better.

The '71, '72, '73, you were a three- and a four-man for grades and a C and a D man. The record now indicates that you're up to a one and two in your evaluations and an A and B. So the earlier years of your productive performance in prison was not as good. You've shown improvement in these cases.

Okay. I have no further comment. Mrs. Rushen.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: We'll move into the --BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: May I just ask one more
question in that area?

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Would you take a look at the report for the CRB lifer parole consideration hearing for this August '67, August 1978 dated 8/14/78, please?

MR. FOLEY: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: There's one item in there that kind of baffles me a little bit and maybe you can explain it. On the first page under work record, the second sentence there, it ways, "His earlier assignments

factorily as is his present assignment." And then I thought I have Mr. Grogan say that he had worked 2350 hours at something, but didn't complete that. Quite frankly, my question is rather blunt. You start something but don't complete it. Even after you put in 2350 hours. Now, apparently, you're working as a hobby tool clerk and so forth.

INMATE GROGAN: For the present.

. .

10

71

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Zt.

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Might I inquire as to why you begin something and somehow you haver terminate it or finish it out? Am I misreading this?

MR. FOLEY: Let Mr. Grogan explain. I think he'll know his involvement.

INMATE GROGAN: I was working as a stock control clerk in industry for a year and I controlled all the stock that went in and out of all the warehouses. Evidently my work record wasn't as satisfactory, as compared to what it is now. I think that's what he was referring to that. As far as not completing anything because this really wasn't anything to complete in industries. It's just a work assignment. There's really no goals to complete anything unless you want to upgrade your ability to work in industries surrounding. But after a year I left. I was taken out of there because of an incident where an officer

was killed.

there of that, locked the whole place down.

INMATE GROGAN: Okay. As far as my trade went, I had 2300 hours, it was true, but I had no date. I had nothing to gauge myself to where I could keep going. If I was to complete my training and get everything out of it, I would probably forget it by the time I got out.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: How many hours did you have to go before you were able to complete it? Just roughly

INMATE GROGAN: Roughly 2,000 hours.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Two thousand more?

INMATE GROGAN: I think I had 300 over.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: I see.

MR. FOLEY: And I would just add to that that I have seen in the past where counselors have in fact recommended that a lifer not complete his trade until he does in fact have a date so that he may finish his trade and still have it within his skills upon being release.

positive aspect. I see what you're talking about. It almost appears it could be taken either way when he says "as Is his present assignment," but I think that's to be

taken positively, that he's now performing satisfactorily whereas his earlier assignments were not done so.

BOAND MEMBER PIZARRO: That's all I have, Mrs. Rushen.

20.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Before we go into your parole plans, why were you stabbed?

INMATE GROGAN: That's a good question. I don't know. His motive I can only assume from what the incident that happened that night. I'll start with those if you want to have that, I'll give you a clear picture of it. Would you like to hear it?

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Yes.

INMATE GROGAN: That night -- plus my hobby job, I have a job where I give my own time. That's as a music orderly. I go down there and I set up all the equipment and I take inventory and make sure everything is working right. At that time I had to unlock and I was at the unlock. During this unlock, there was something happened on the yard where a bunch of people, I think it was a bunch of whites took baseball bats and assaulted the NF gang members, chased them around the yard because they had some misunderstanding, little games they got going inside the institution. After that, they released everybody out of the yard and locked them up.

I was released out of the music room and went back

to my wing. By no means had they taken all the members off the line, just people in the yard. I was waiting up by myself to be keyed into my cell and at the time one of the members came up and started stabbing me. I guess because I was white. That's all I can figure. Maybe a save-face. move because, from what I hear, they looked bad in the yard. They were running and stuff.

3

8

ъ.

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. Have there been any repercussions from your cooperating with the sheriffs in finding them the body of Mr. Shea?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, the way that I -- I made an agreement with them that --

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: With whom, now?

Attorney. I think it was more a verbal agreement, that they would keep my name out of it when they found the body, that they would keep my name out of it. They just mentioned an anonymous member of the Manson Family gave authorities information. I asked them to do that so that the publicity that I would get from it wouldn't hurt my father because they live nearby. It father, his heart skind of weak.

So I wanted to smooth over all that so there wouldn't be no repercussions on that side. Inside of here, I haven be told that many people. Nothing has happened.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Is any of the Manson

Family here

- 1

. 22

INMARK GROGAN: There's one other person. I don't think ha's in the Manson Family any more, but he was associated with it at the time.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: He doesn know you went out?

INMATE GROGAN: He knows I went out. He doesn't, as far as I'm concerned, he doesn't hold it against me.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. We can move then to your parole plans.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: I'll pick up on that area, then.

Most of the information that I'm going to inquire about

comes off of that report that was written for this hearing

and is dated August 14, '78, and written by Mr. Scottawho is

a Correctional Counselor I. I imagine, Mr. Grogan, you've

been given a copy of this so you know what's in it?

INMATE GROGAN: Right.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Under marginal heading

D; Resources and Plans for Release, it talks about the

fact that your father, Mr. Claud Grogan, has offered you

some employment at the Holiday Hardware Store where he's

the manager apparently there. Your brother, Mr. William A.

Grogen apparently is a painter in the Los Angeles area, that

is, he's a painting contractor himself and has offered you a

job with him if and when you are eligible for release.

There were the track I'd like to inquire about is that apparently yet we married in the recent past. Am I correct, sir?

INMATE GROGAN: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: How did you meet your wife?
INMATE GROGAN: I met her through correspondence.

She wrote me a letter.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Why would she be writing you a letter just out of the blue?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, she heard about me I guess, read about me and was interested.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: And you just started corresponding after that?

INMATE GROGAN: Right.

BOARD MEMBER PIZAKRO: When did you first begin corresponding with her?

INMATE GROGAN: I think it was in early '74.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: How old of a lady is she?

INMATE GROGAN: She's 22 now.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: And you were married here,

I saw the --

MR. FOLKY: 75.

INMATE GROGAN: December, 1st, '75.

SOARD MEMBER PIZARBO: Of 175. Did I see a

picture of your ohild? Your attorney mentioned that you

have a sent to like flow old is then child now?

PROCESS CHOCKET TWO

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Two years old.

INMATE GROGAN: I have a picture of my wife.

BOARD NEMBER PIZARRO: I think I saw it in here.

Oh, yea, it's a portrait. There's some pictures in there of the lady with her eyes down.

INMATE GROGAN: Yes:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Į7

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: What would you be doing for your father, specifically? Let me ask you secondly then, where is it that you would be planning on wanting to go? So you got the offers. That's fine. But maybe that isn't really what you would like to do.

INMATE GROGAN: Well, what I'd really like to do
is get this whole Manson thing behind me as best I can.
I'll work for my father for a couple of years and get my
savings up. I plan to maybe move out of state and maybe
possibly change my name if I can, if the courts will allow
it. I've heard that you can do that. Possibly move to
Texas. She has a lot of relatives there that know me and
are willing to help us out. But in the meantime, I'd, as
far as living in California, I've compiled a rather rigorous
program. I plan on working for my dad in the daytim and
then in my evenings doing hobby work which I can seek, make
good money on that, a couple of hundred dollars a make

of nights a week to supplement my microse. I can use the savings towards future goals.

Los Angeles County of Vent

INMATE GROGAN: Angeles County.

BOARD MEMBER PIECEO: And that would be where you would plan to at least tart off?

INMATE GROGAN: could possibly move to
Chatsworth or, more favor, y, closer to my father's store
which is in Valencia.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

}9

21

22

23

24

-23

BOARD MEMBER PICERO: What county is that?

INMATE GROSAN: think that's Los Angeles.

BOARD MEMBER FORC: Well, we've covered possibility of a job. I agine if you had a job and money coming in there wouldn't any problem with income then, would there? Does your e work?

INMATE GROGAN Res. She's working right now.

BOARD MEMBER RRO: What does she do, sir?

INMATE GROGAN he can do restaurant work. Right

now she's a cashier at sealth food store She's done secretarial work.

now, what city!

INDIANE GROGAN: Paso Robles.

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BOARD HUBBER PIZARRED: How often does the get an opportunity to come here and visit you?

INMATE GROGAN: About once every other week.

She just came up. I got sumburnt outside the other day.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Mrs. Rushen.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Is there anything else you want to add to that before we go into summation?

MR. FOLEY: Yes. I would like to make a couple of additions. I think concerning his release plans -- I didn't refer to this before. Recently I think even a judge who sentenced Mr. Grogan has sent a letter to the authorities stating that, from what he's heard, it appears that Mr. Grogan is rehabilitating himself. I think there's been quite a turnaround also from the District Attorney's Office point of view since the discovery of the body. I think Mr. Katz wrote a letter also which was in a much different tone than the letters he had been writing up to December of '77 before they realized that he had convinced people it was a decapitation when, in fact, it wasn't. It must have been a shock for him.

Ar. Grogan does in fact own some property that his parents gave him in that area, I believe. Could you go into that just a little bee?

INCATE GROGAN: Well, it's in Modes County. It's

about an acre's worth of land which my father wrote me recently -- I think it's in the record.

23 -

HRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Yes, it's here.

THEATE GROGAN: Three thousand dollar loan value that would also assist me upon release if I so needed it.

MR. FOLEY: There's also, I believe, a letter in there from his aunt and uncle stating that they'll give him support when he's released. You have already, mentioned the letters from his brother and his father. I think one thing that is to be stated, I'm sure you're aware that Mr. Grogan does have a very strong family behind him. Unfortunately, not many inmates are that lucky. But his family had stuck with him through this thus far and everything about his family has shown that they have not rejected him but in fact seem to be doing everything they possibly can to help him come around and if and when he's given a date and is released to go out and try to resume a normal existence.

Just in terms, again, of substantiating the use of his art work, I believe he sent a drawing to somebody who immediately employed it. Who was that, the one with the deer jumping the fence?

INMATE GROGAN: That was for a health magazine,

Life Science. I asked him for some books on some health
and he sent them to me free of charge. In turn, I offered

a sterch about some of my work and he agreed and he said
he'd take the sketch. I have the letter if you want to
see the letter.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: We'll take your word for it.

MR. FOLEY: Also I think one of your, is it a painting or a drawing in Mr. Enomoto's office?

INMATE GROGAN: Buddy Harper --

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: I saw a letter in here to that effect.

MR, FOLEY: I have nothing further. Can you think of anything, Mr. Grogan?

INMATE GROGAN: No.

7

ю.

12

14

15

16

17

14

19

ŽΦ

**2**1

22

23

24

- 25

MR. FOLEY: Well, let me ask the most obvious question. Maybe it should be asked. If you're given a date and you are paroled, do you see any problem with conducting yourself in a law-abiding manner?

INMATE GROGAN: No, not after all this that I've been through. I've learned my lesson.

MR. FOLEY: Now about associations with people, for instance -- obviously one of the reasons you got into all this was your associations with the Manson Family.

Do you think you're going to be strong enough to postpone any of that influence or direct it off?

inmate GROGAN: Definitely. I'm not going to keep in contact with these people any more.

MR. FOLEY: I have nothing alse.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. Then we go into our summary and we will ask the DA to summarize and make his recommendation at this time:

Before we do that, Counselor, it is 1:10. I. think you regoing to be late. We do have to deliberate. Frankly, T really hate to approach the deliberating phase of this under the pressure of your time, and let I realize you have to go. But you may not --

MR. FOLEY: I can just make a call to the court and tell them I'll be there when I get there. I don't want to in any way hurt Mr. Grogan's chances. I didn't know how long the hearing would take. If you feel a recess for lunch would be in order, fine. If, on the other hand, you feel that this matter can be dealt with fairly, --

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: It can be dealt with fairly. It's just a matter that you're going to be late. I think you're due there at 1:30.

MR. FOLEY: Yes.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: You won't make it.

So I don't mind continuing, but I'll poll the panel.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: I don't mind.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Mr. Rich.

PRITERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

PRESIDENG MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. Mr. DA,

I hope you have strength enough to give us your summary
and recommendation.

MR. MONTAGNA: Yes. I've given this considerable thought over the last several days, both in Los Angeles where I had access to the file, the prison file and your own tile. It's my recommendation that the Board find him not to be suitable for parole at this time. In coming, to this recommendation, I speak on behalf of the DA's Office.

I certainly in no way would write Mr. Grogan off as an individual nor would I in any way imply at this time that he never at any time in the future be paroled. That is a big step backwards from the position originally taken with Judge Kolts when he expressed his thoughts that it would be a great mittake to consider Mr. Grogan as a candidate for parole at the present or at any time. This was immediately after the verdict when he wrote this first letter to the Board in 1976. Certainly things have changed apparently, and Mr. Grogan; I think the prognosis on his behalf is better than it has ever been since he came into the State Prison facility.

He does have the support of his family. He has a wife he apparently cares for. While impressed with his

art work, there are plans for the future. He has recently in the past few months earlier this year finally admitted his guilt and helped the authorities in finding the body.

- 5

I don't necessarily personally believe it to be true, but one can look upon the most recent conduct of Mr. Grogan with skepticism if one chose with the possibility that he is coming forth with his best foot, so to speak, knowing that it would help him to get paroled at an earlier date.

Certainly in going through the prison file there's an indication back in '75 when he was working in the culinary department, he declined to work. All he wanted to do was to pick his guitar and be idle. He was irresponsible, immature. There's another indication in one of the work records that he's not adverse to doing extra work for an ulterior motive. I think, you know, very candidly, that if Mr. Grogan is sincere in his present attitude and he continues on with what apparently is very good indications at the present time, that sometime in the future, perhaps in the very near future, he might be a very suitable candidate for parole.

The fact that the body was not decapitated is something to consider, but certainly that in and of itself does not detract from the brutal execution-type murder that took place. Mr. Foley would seem to indicate that this

changes the whole situation. In my judgment it doesn't. There are positive factors on behalf of Mr. Grogan, but as a court concluded, the offense was a wonton, vicious. executive-type killing and it remains that. But for the grace of God, so to speak, this man may have been involved in a number of other murders, the LaBianca murders and the murder of this person who he went along with Mrs. Kasabian for the express purpose of killing him. Keep in mind the killing of Shorty Shea took place after the Manson LaBianca murders. He full well knew what he was involved in at this time.

There's a price to be paid for what he's done.

In my judgment, the man should remain in prison for a longer period of time.

I've looked at the psychiatric reports. It's only the most recent one which is very favorable to the defendant. But as late as October, 1967, reports of Dr. Malloy, --

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Excuse me, you mean

'77?

. <del>1</del>6

MR. MONTAGNA: October, 1977. Dr. Malloy indicates that this immate, Mr. Meogan, is extremely violent and has a violent potential. This notential continues to be well above average for the indefinite future. Dr. Wittner, I believe it is, W-i-t-t-n-e-r, in 1974 indicated Mr. Grogan's

future behavior was largely unpredictable. Throughout the early psychiatric reports, there's a spector of this man running returning to a violent-type life. There is extreme violence in his background. He's an easily led type individual. Possibly some of his earlier conduct was related to drugs.

18.

1

In conclusion, once again, I certainly wish

Mr. Grogan every success in the future and I certainly
think in the eyes of God he's a human being. He's entitled
to consideration and I'd like to see him out on parole as
soon as it's feasible understanding the laws we have and
the safety of society. I say that time has not yet arrived
and I would recommend to the Board that they not give him
a release date as of this time.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Mr. Foley.

MR. FOLEY: Yes. I'd like to begin, if I may, with the Cumulative Case Summary. There's a comment in there; and this was prepared in 1972. Under Summary and Recommendation, page 5 of that report, it states that Grogan appears as an intelligent youth but somewhat misguided and confused. His prior record is not extensive and he indicates no real tendency to violence or aggressive behavior. It goes on to describe his being of average intelligence and good potential: I think, but for the instant offense, Mr. Grogan's prior record does not show

violence. I think the most recent psychiatric report or psychological report shows that violence is not deemed to be a problem with Mr. Grogan. It wasn't viewed to be that much of a problem in the very beginning as the summary Even now it's less of a problem. indicates. committed at this time for a crime of violence and I am not going to say that there's anything good to be said about a murder, but I had to emphasize the fact that prior Boards have specifically stated that the reason for denial of a parole date was because of the mufilation-decapitation murder. Maybe that in a way led to the discovery, but I think it's important we know that distinction. No longer are you in a situation where you're dealing with somebody who mutilated the body and decapitated the head after death.

3

10

- 11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is whether or not the prisoner will pose an unreasonable risk of danger to society if released from prison. I don't think at this time this Board can say that Mr. Grogan poses a danger to society. If anything, I think at this time it's society that is posing the danger to Mr. Grogan. I'm sure Mr. Montagna, is in a situation where the District Attorney's Office of Los Angeles County doesn't send him here to ask that Mr. Grogan be given a parole date.

Everyone knows the notoriety this case apparently received

at one time. It's not receiving it any more. But that position holds true. I don't think you could expect him to say anything else. But you have the benefit of, amongst other persons, of a lieutenant in the institution here 19 years who has come in and I've had this happen once before in that a person when they get in front of the Board says something different that he believes, but in all candor, Mr. Chandler's report on Mr. Grogan was a very good one. There's nothing about Mr. Grogan other than the instant offense and his involvement in that and, again, I say under the direction of Mr. Manson.

7

9

10,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

· 19

20

21.

28

25.

he has any violent propensities at all. Im fact, his propensities are more in the area of artistic and literary accomplishments. You've seen his work. I asked him to bring it and show it to you because I think it's rather good, actually. He can make some money, I think, in that respect:

His plans upon -- well, before I go into that.

If you run down the criteria, now knowing the context of the body and what the crime did consist of, if you look at the criteria under circumstances tending to show unsuitability, previous record of violence. We don't have any. Childhood abuse. To the contrary, Mr. Grogan does come from a good family. Sadistic sequal offenses. Well,

I know of no allegations similar to that in this offense.

Psychological factors. Rr. Grogen has never been really diagnosed as a psychopath. In fact, the reports, even though somewhat questionable, still have stated that he does not have psychopathology or was in need of an extensive psychotherapy. I think in fact the most recent report says they see no need for psychotherapy at all. Bizarre conduct after crime. That we know now did not occur. They buried the body, but they didn't mutilate the corpse as was convenced to a jury.

Institutional behavior. Again, I think that's been exemplary.

I think Mr. Grogan has favorable factors. I think his juvenile record is somewhat limited. Possession of marijuana I believe was one of the offenses and some basic that, offenses. His social history is very positive. Again, referring to his family relationship. I think there's no question that Mr. Grogan feels remorse for his involvement and is attempting to come to grips with dealing with that. I don't think anything else needs to be said. You'll get to determine how much remorse you think Mr. Grogan does feel. His motivation for the crime, I think the social summary says he was misguided, misdirected some-how or other. I don't know how. You hear about the Reverend Moon and the Moonies and how people are able to be

led apparently by others, and apparently this happened to Mr. Grogan. I think a large part of that was his age which at that time I believe was 18 when these offenses were, well, this offense was alleged to have occurred. He was 18 years of age. For the last couple of years prior to that, that's when his juvenile record began actually, about 16, 15 years of age. People of that age group are very impressionable. How old are you now, Mr. Grogan?

INMATE GROGAN: Twenty-seven:

10-

-13

MR. FOLEY: He's 27. This is nine years after the alleged offense. He's gone through a number of changes and I think his age at the time of his involvement should be a mitigating factor. I think he has great plans for the future. Anyone who has as much family support as Mr. Grogan has, I think would qualify for having good plans because he has people who care about him which I know the Board is concerned with. So I think all the indications in Mr. Grogan's case are that he's suitable for parole.

In referring to the matrixes which are set out as guidelines in this type of case, I think appropriately you could put Mr. Grogan's crime in the category under 2282(b), under Subsection C, a severe trayma, involved a stabbing and a hitting. I think it would come under Subcategory 2, prior relationship. There's been established that Mr. Shea was in fact known by Mr. Grogan and it was

their relationship or Mr. Shea's relationship with the family which led to his demise. There was motivation resulting out of the relationship. I think that would appropriately put you in the category of 13, 15 to 17 year criteria.

mitigation concerning his involvement. I would put primary emphasis again on his age as being a mitigating factor.

Also, the fact that quite apparently these were not Mr. Grogan's ideas. These were somebody else's ideas that he was carrying out. I think the Board could properly impose the 13-year term for his involvement in this offense plus the Board, if it so desires, could take into consideration his exemplary institutional behavior in further reducing that date.

That, of course, would be up to the Board. He's passed his minimum eligibile parole date. I believe that was in 1977. Is that correct?

INMATE GROSAN: Yes.

-24

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: Yes.

MR. FOLEY: And I think you could set a date.

I would ask you to work off of the minimum 13-year term

because of his age and having been influenced and give

Mr. Grogan a chance to get out with his family and use these

people who want to help him and these respurces that he has

at his disposal while they're still there.

. 1

2

3

7

10

11

12

Εſ

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Again, everything about Mr. Grogan shows me that he's suitable for parole and further that, although I know the Board has never agreed with me in the past, the earlier the parole date, I think Mr. Grogan in fact could be released in the next year and I would find it not offensive and I think he could adapt and make use of that. So I would ask this Board to find that he is suitable and look at the C-II subsection and impose the lower recommended term with any other mitigating factors you feel exist at this time.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Mr. Pizarro.

BOARD MEMBER PIZARRO: I have nothing,

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Mr. Rich.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE RICH: I have nothing.

\*MR. MONTAGNA: May I make one comment which I think is in answer to an erroneous statement?

'PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. I don't usually, but go ahead.

MR. MONTAGNA: Mr. Foley in some way implied that

I was sent up here to take a position against releasing

Mr. Grogan. It's not true. Our District Attorney,

Mr. Vandekæmp, has taken the position for all life crimes

arising out of L.A. County he wants a representative of

his office to make sure that the Board has accurate

information and to take a position only in fairness. I certainly have not been given any sort of direction in any way, shape, or form in connection with this or any other case.

PRESIDING MEMBER PUSHEN: Thank you.

In cases like this, Mr. Grogan, we kind of make it a practice - and when I say cases like this. I mean lifer cases where by any suresch of the imagination you're looking at a great deal of time if we find you suitable and you certainly have a high probability of being found unsuftable.

final chance then I tell you the kinds of things we regoing to be considering.

10

12

13

13

15

16

17

15

19

20

22

24

Now, we have a very definite charge to a ormity of sentencing and protection of society based on the unreasonable risk factor. Hould you care then to speak to the idea that we must consider that on order of another you participated in this crime. I would think it is been adequately documented that you were emilable to participate in others if circumstances had come together.

Do you want to comment on this fact as to what could get you to the point where on order of another person, where you personally have no revenge, there didn to seem to be greed, there didn't seem to be monetary gain, there

didn't same to be passion, you weren't in the Army or the service of anything like that. So what is working there and where is the risk lessened at this point? Do you follow what I'm saying?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes

.5

7

10

11

12

15

16

17

18,

19

20

21

22

-23

24

25

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: You can answer it any

INMATE GROGAN: Well, at that time I was just wide open. I was a youngster. I never traveled very far at all. I hadn't seen too much. These people, what they brought with them when they came, you know, just overwhelmed my consciousness. I was just, it's kind of hard to put as far as I was wide open to suggestion to where they could, I was given a post-hypnotic suggestion and it sunk right in. Looking back I can see there was nothing, no real deep experiences on my part to counteract any of the games they were running on my head. By games, I mean intensive psychological program where I don't even think I was in touch with my own conscious awareness. Do you follow what I'm trying to say?

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Not really.

sessions where LSD was given to people and they'd sit in some room for 12 hours at a time while a person would expound different shillosophies and play different mind games

with you and more or less take you apart bit by bit and, get off into your soul. This totally had me overwhelmed. I thought a lot of times a person must have extrasensory perception to be able to look inside my mind and see exactly what was there. I was psyched out as far as, you know, looking back at it as far as that. That's how it was. I was young then, stupid, and I've really got no cleanup for what I did. It was wrong. There's nothing I could do. I could do a hundred years and I can't bring the person back. I wish I could. I'd do it if there was a way to do it. But as far as anything recurring like that, I'm experienced now. I can tell the telltale signs of any person who's trying to run a game on me, trying to hussle me or do anything. I've learned. Experience is the best teacher. There's nothing that I can see that would ever coerce me or persuade me to do anything that I don't want to do.

3

10

11

- 13

14

15

16

17ـ

18

19

20

21

-22

25

MR. FOLEY: If I can clarify just one thing, too. I think what Mr. Grogan was referring to initially was at the time, that he came in contact with these people, that he wasn't even smart enough to know or to have any defenses to what they may have been attempting to do. In other words, he was oblivious to the fact that people were trying to influence him: Again, due probably to his age.

Therefore, he didn't even have an opportunity to

IMMATE GROGAN: Yes. I think that's more concise than what I was trying to say. I was trying to bring in some circumstances that would clarify, just a short summary.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: All right. We recess at 1:33. We'll clear the board room.

(Thereupon the room was cleared and the hearing of the Community Release Board recessed for deliberation by the Board:)

--000--

PRINTS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

THE MINISTER CALLEGRALIA SERVE

## \*SUMPTION OF PROCEEDINGS

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: We will reconvene in the case of Mr. Grogan at 1:50 p.m. All persons previously identified are in the room.

The panel has reached a decision and we are finding you unsuitable for parole at this time, Mr. Grogan.

These are our reasons.

We feel that we do not have enough demonstrated behavior to help us make a conclusion that you don't resent a risk to the health and safety of others at this time. We see you as presenting too great a risk to take a chance.

10

13

14

15

۶

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Now, the are some of the things that went into our decision. Yes emonstrated that you had the capacity to be so influented by another person that you could commit murder in brutal and vicious fashion and you did this without what we call the quote, "normal" reasons. In other words, we did not find any elements of greed; we did not find elements of passion, and these are the things that we see as we sit on the Board as to reasons why people commit murder. Even when they are ordered to commit them, there usually is some monetary gain, insurance policies or something that they're going to get out of it. So this has caused us a great deal

of concern in that somehow or another, another person got into your mind an area your head to such a way that you were influenced.

The other thing that went into our consideration is that the recency of your, I guess you would call it, a turnaround if that's what it is. We know that you gave a very gruesome description of how the murder occurred in that you cut the man's head off and that you admitted this to others. I think the court accepted that this testimony of the witness was true. That you remained silent about that from 1969 until 1977. During that time also, as far as the authorities were concerned, you were saying that you weren't involved, that you had no culpability for the murder. Now, we realize that recently you have changed that. We'll go into that a little later.

ŧΟ

We note that the psychiatric evaluation for 1978 is positive. However, it is the first one. All of the others have been essentially negative. We're particularly referring to the one just before this one, the 1977 psychiatric report. That was the psychiatric evaluation and that was done by the consultant psychiatrist, Dr. John A. Malloy, M-a-1-1-o-y.

Dr. Malloy's diagnosis is that it was a schizophrenia chronic indifferentiated type and it speaks to the major concern at that time of his was that when you

eventually peroled that you might decompensate from an emotional standpoint with increase in thought disorganization and impaired judgment. He makes the statement that, "Historically on the outside you have been extremely violent and I would see his violence potential continue to be well above average for an indefinite period." And then we come with the '78 which says that your violence has decreased, but that simply is just too short a time. We need more observation there.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

· 22

23

24

Now, the panel recognizes that there could be positive motives for your recent admission of guilt and for your clarification as to the condition of the body in terms of the mutilation. But it would be very unrealistic, and we would be derelict in our duty if we would not look at the other side, that, you know, you could also have come to the realization that mutilation and decapitation is viewed in a much more, I guess, serious kind of situation and parole was definitely not forthcoming under those circumstances. That possibly could be a reason for your doing that. That in itself is not essentially negative. But we again need more time. You only did this less than a year ago. So we need more time there.

We recognize that you have a good institutional record. We are a little bit concerned about your tendency to start things and not finish, but here again, isolated

that would not keep us from finding you unsuitable. So in total, if we were to try to put this in just a few sentences, we would say that we are very concerned about murders that occur where the mind is involved to the extent in which yours seemed to have been, where there is an outside influence over which you didn't appear to have any control. This, to us, presents a much greater risk than if we could pinpoint and say that this was passion, this was greed, or something of that sort.

⋅3,

13,

So for these reasons we are not finding you suitable today.

Now, we are supposed to tell you some things that you can do in the coming year. You will be back before the Board in another year. We are going to order, number one, Cat. D diagnostic for you. Now, we realize that there may not be psychosis and you may be all right, but we need to know what's in your head and we need to know it over and above a psychiatric interview. The Cat. D pregram consists of a 90-day diagnostic where you are observed and where many people look at you and try to pull together who is Mr. Grogan at this time. What makes him tick, and make a prediction. So we would urge you to cooperate with that and go through that program.

We would further suggest -- now, the Cat. D is an order. We are suggesting what I m getting ready to say rose. The some kind of formal completion of something.

You somet have to. This is just a suggestion. We would suggest that you get you a vocational skill that could supplement your art, something, you know, that would really make you capable of making a living when you get out. Those are just suggestions and they do not in and of themselves mean that you would not get paroled. But we are telling you in no uncertain terms that you are to go to Category D and we hope that you would cooperate.

Is there any question that you'd like to make or

٠

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21.

22

**Z**3

24

75

INMATE GROGAN: I'm willing to participate in that program, but due to the stabbing of the NF, Nuestra Pamilia, as far as I know this is the only line that they're not upon. I know that because people have told me they're up at Vacaville and I still haven't checked at CMC East where they have that same program.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Well, it's my understanding that CMC is fairly neutral.

INMATE GROGAN: I don't have no objection to going to that then. It would be closer to my wife.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Counselor.

MR. FOLEY: Well, I don't know if this would be a fair question to the Board. But for Mr. Grogan's point of

Board would require in terms of, let's say, a clean psychiatric bill of health? Are you talking in terms that you feel at this time the Board would seriously entertain suitability if, for instance, he comes out of D clean and also has the normal yearly report showing very positive as far as no psychopathology or no psychotherapy being required? I'm trying to get a feel for what you at this time in evaluating that he was unsuitable would think you'd want in order to say he was suitable.

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: I don't think I can answer it that way. I do think though that the Board would look on a case of this kind in terms of time, in terms of. the time. You see, if you really want to look at this, we almost start, start Mr. Grogan's time in 1977. We are looking at Mr. Grogan. See, it's just been too short. He just made the turn here a few months ago. Look at it from this standpoint. How many years was that? Nine years that you let this go, that you, well, as far as authorities were concerned you hadn't done anything for nine years. So last  $\Omega$ year he says, oh, yes, I did it, and here's the body. I'm saying that we need some time and we are not going to commit ourselves. We are saying, however, that a Cat. D dragnosis will give the next panel much more to work on than an individual psychiatric report. We need to really know

who he. Grogan is mentally and emotionally before a date is projected, and that's about as far as we're going today, Counsel.

MR. FOLEY: I was just going to comment that I think your reference to the turnaround has just happened recently is inaccurate. I think if you read all the psychological reports, there's noted improvements in those reports and that before he did in fact make what you are referring to as the turnaround, improvement was being noted throughout. So I don't think it's fair to Mr. Grogan to say that this is something that's just happened in the last couple of months. This is something that's been building up through improvement and I think really the peak of his improvement was what you're now calling the turnaround.

PRESIDING MEMBER RUSHEN: Okay. Let's see then if Mr. Grogan sustains this.

If there are no more questions, we terminate this hearing at 2:00 o'clock. Good luck to you: (Thereupon the hearing of the Community

Release Board was adjourned at the

hour of 2:00 p.m.)

23

5

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

ZO

21

22

25

## THE TIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, CATHLEEN SLOCUM, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that; the foregoing Community Release Board Hearing was reported in shorthand by me; Cathleen Slocum, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my band this 15th day of September, 1978,

CATHLEEN SLOCUM

Certified Shorthand Reporter. License No. 2822

15 16

10

13

12

13.

,,

17

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25